(*b*) is it a fact that two different systems are actually in force in Trichur and Ottupara markets;

(*c*) have Government taken any steps to enforce the same mode of collection in all the markets of the State?

Rao Bahadur T. K. Nayar *(Minister for Public Health)*:-

(*a*) Yes. Last year there was a complaint from the Ottupara licensee and a few curers.

(*b*) & (*c*) Two different systems are not in force.

MANURE TO AGRICULTURISTS

94. മിസ്റ്റർ ടി. കെ. എൽ. കുഞ്ഞുവറിയ്ക്ക്: താഴെ പറയുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്കു ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട ഗവണ്മെണ്ടു ദയവായി മറുപടി പറയുമോ.—

(എ) സാധാരണയായി പിണ്ണാക്കുവളം ചോദിക്കുന്നതു് ഏകദേശം എത്ര ദിവസത്തിൽ വീതമാണു്;

(ബി) കോംപ്ലക്ഷിക്കാക്കു് ഈ കൊല്ലം അപേക്ഷയുള്ളപക്ഷം എല്ലാവർക്കും കൊടുക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി ശേഖരിക്കുവാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിക്കുന്നുണ്ടോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ സി. ആർ. ഇയ്യുണ്ണി:

(എ) നിലത്തിന്റെ ഫലപുഷ്ടിയനുസരിച്ചു് ഇരുന്നൂറു മുതൽ ഇരുന്നൂറ്റമ്പതു ദിവസത്തിൽ വരെ.

(ബി) ഉവ്വു്.

2. Announcement—regarding election of non-official members to examine the report of the Pay Revision Committee

Mr. President: I have to announce that nominations of the following Members were received to the election of four non-official members to the Committee to examine the report of the Pay Revision Committee:

Mr. P. Sankara Menon, Mr. K. Natarajan Chettiar, Mr. K. K. Kannan, and Mr. A. Eachara Menon. These Hon'ble Members are therefore duly elected to the Committee.

3. President's ruling regarding interpretation of "the Council pass to the business next in order"

I shall now give my ruling on the point of order raised yesterday by Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan asking whether the Cochin Verumpattamdars Act (Amendment) Bill of Mr. A. Eachara Menon be taken up for consideration.

The question raised in the point of order is whether the acceptance of the motion that "the Council pass to the business next in order in the statement of business" has the effect of a final disposal of the business then under discussion or whether it has only the effect of advancing the other items in the statement of business fixed for that meeting thereby postponing the consideration of the matter which was then passed over. The argument that such a motion has the former effect is based upon the expression "the business then under discussion shall be considered to be disposed of" occurring in Standing Order 26.

A Bill shall be deemed to have been rejected only if any one of the three motions of introduction, taking into consideration or passing is not carried (Standing Order 42 (3)). A Bill shall lapse only under the conditions specified in Standing Order 4 (2). In spite of these clear provisions, the argument is that the motion to pass on to the business next in order, in the statement of business amounts to a rejection of the Bill then under discussion. I think that such an interpretation is not warranted by the language employed in Standing Order 26. The term "disposed of" occurring in the said Standing Order can mean only that the matter is "disposed of" for the purpose of that meeting, and not for the next or any other day of that meeting of the Council, and that it can be revived again, on notice being given. Else, the legislature would have stated that the business then under discussion shall be taken to have been rejected or finally disposed of by the House.

Under the practice obtaining in the British Parliament "The debate may be adjourned subject to similar conditions to those obtaining in respect of postponement of a question and adjournment. If carried the discussion shall be resumed at the next meeting." (Vide page 38

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly